

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/710,790	08/03/2004	David R. Hall	66.0029	4789	
38046 7:	590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
JEFFREY E. DALY			WONG, ALBERT KANG		
INTELLISERV 400 N. SAM H	/, INC OUSTON PARKWAY EAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 900		2612			
HOUSTON, T	X 77060	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Commence		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/710,790	10/710,790 HALL ET AL.						
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Albert K. Wo	ng	2612					
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the minus of the second for reply is specified at a second for reply within the second second for reply within the second for reply within th	above, the maximum statutory period valued tended period for reply will, by statute after than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the Control (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to comm	munication(s) filed on 03.4	uaust 2004							
<u>, </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
, ————————————————————————————————————									
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	•	•							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-20</i> is/are	l)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/o	r election requ	uirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not req	uest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required i	f the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	c) None of:	•	•)-(d) or (f).					
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	ailed Office action for a list	•	` ','	ed					
			r dopred net receive	50.					
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PT	•	4)	Interview Summary	•					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Paten Information Disclosure Statement 		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PT)	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6)	Other:	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/710,790

Art Unit: 2612

- 1. This Office action is in response to the application filed August 3, 2004. Claims 1-20 are pending. This application claims the benefit of provisional application 60/481,225. Benefit has not been granted because it appears that the invention pertains to an infusion system that bears no relation to a drill string environment. If applicant disagrees, he is asked to provide support for each claim within the provisional application.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shawhan (4,298.970) in view of Laborde (6,816,082).

Regarding claim 1, Shawhan teaches the claimed bottom-hole node as item 22. The top-hole node is shown as item 32 with the repeaters being the intermediate nodes. The communication link is the transducers and pipe that carry the signals from the nodes. The

Application/Control Number: 10/710,790

Art Unit: 2612

purpose of the invention is a synchronizing system to communicate between the nodes, and thus, at least two nodes are synchronized. Shawhan does not teach the use of data packets, but teaches the transmission of digital signals. Laborde teaches a communication system with nodes and sensors. Col. 2 teaches the use of various protocols for communication. These protocols involve the use of data packets. It would have been obvious to combine the two references because they pertain to the same field of data transmission within a borehole using nodes. While Laborde is primarily concerned with monitoring production, it teaches that the signal may be transmitted by coiled tubing that is synonymous with a drill string. It would have been obvious to use the packet communication in Laborde with the system in Shawhan to create a system having the advantage of packet communication, i.e. error correction or addressability.

Regarding claims 2-3 the equivalent of a personal computer is shown as item 34 of Shawhan. Although a computer is not specifically mentioned, it is conventionally used because it performs the same function.

Regarding claim 4, on sensor described in Shawhan is a drill bit position sensor which is typically an inclinometer.

Regarding claim 5, see Figure 1 of Shawhan.

Regarding claim 6, a repeater performs the task of amplification.

Regarding claim 7, it would have been obvious to include a housing to protect the node and to interface with the drill string for signal conduction.

Regarding claim 8, the intermediate node receives signals from the sensor, and thus, gathers the data.

Regarding claim 9-10, see col. 5, lines 55-60 of Laborde. The use of an address provides the advantage activating one node where multiple nodes may receive the signal. This creates a system with redundancy.

Regarding claim 11, see claim 4.

Regarding claims 12-20, these claims are the method equivalent of the apparatus claims above. Since the apparatus have been shown to be obvious, the method of using the apparatus in a conventional way would similarly be obvious.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert K. Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

ALBERT K. WONG PRIMARY EXAMINER